

JAN 22 2007

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL FORM	Application Number	10/560702
	Confirmation Number	1948
	Filing Date	June 9, 2004
	First Named Inventor	Hauptmann, Holger
	Examiner Name	
Fax: 571-273-8300	Attorney Docket Number	58688US004
Total Number of Pages in This Submission: 3		
Date: January 22, 2007	Attorney for Applicant: Sean Edman	

ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form <input type="checkbox"/> Issue Fee Transmittal <input type="checkbox"/> Amendment Transmittal	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply <input type="checkbox"/> After Final <input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/Declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Technology Center (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosures:
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR § 1.52 or 1.53 <input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 35 USC 371 In US Designated/ Elected Office (DO/EO/US)	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund <input type="checkbox"/> Request for Continued Examination (RCE) Transmittal	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drawings	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to Technology Center	
REMARKS:		

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION
MAY CONTAIN CONFIDENTIAL OR LEGALLY PRIVILEGED INFORMATION
INTENDED ONLY FOR THE PERSON OR ENTITY NAMED BELOW.

If you are not the intended recipient, please do not read, use, disclose, distribute or copy this transmission.
If this transmission was received in error, please immediately notify me by telephone directly at 49-8152-700-1747
or 651-733-1500, and we will arrange for its return at no cost to you.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

32692

Customer Number

JAN 22 2007

Patent
Case No.: 58688US004

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

First Named Inventor: HAUPTMANN, HOLGER

Application No.: 10/560702

Confirmation No.: 1948

Filed: June 9, 2004

Title: UNIFORMLY COLOURED CERAMIC FRAMEWORK AND COLOURING
SOLUTIONRESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION [37 CFR § 1.8(a)]

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being:

 transmitted by facsimile on the date shown below to the United States Patent and Trademark Office at 571-273-8300.

Date

Signed by: Judy Knutson

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the Office Action mailed December 20, 2006. Claims 14-34 are pending. Claims 14-34 were restricted under 35 USC § 121 as follows:

- I. Claims 14-20 are said to be drawn to a composition;
- II. Claims 21-26 and 31-34 are said to be drawn to a process;
- III. Claims 27-30 are said to be drawn to an article of manufacture.

Election

In response, Applicants elect Group III, with traverse.

Reconsideration and withdrawal or modification of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that the Groups I, II and III claims are so interrelated that a search of one group of claims will reveal art to the other. Moreover, the classification of Groups I, II and III claims in different classes and subclasses is not necessarily sufficient grounds to require restriction.

Were restriction to be effected between the claims in Groups I, II and III, a separate examination of the claims in Groups I, II and III would require substantial duplication of work on the part of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office. Even though some additional consideration would be necessary, the scope of analysis of novelty of all the claims of Groups I, II and III would

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Application No.: 10/560702

Case No.: 58688US004

have to be as rigorous as when only the claims of Group III were being considered by themselves. Clearly, this duplication of effort would not be warranted where these claims of different categories are so interrelated. Further, Applicants submit that for restriction to be effected between the claims in Groups I, II and III, it would place an undue burden by requiring payment of a separate filing fee for examination of the nonelected claims, as well as the added costs associated with prosecuting two applications and maintaining two patents.

Conclusion

Applicants have elected Group III, claims 27-30. Continued prosecution of this application is respectfully requested.


It is believed that no fee is due; however, in the event a fee is required, please charge the fee to Deposit Account No. 13-3723. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the indicated telephone number with questions that can be resolved with a simple teleconference.

Respectfully submitted,

Date

Office of Intellectual Property Counsel
3M Innovative Properties Company
Facsimile No.: 651-736-3833

By:


Sean J. Edman, Reg. No. 42,506
Telephone No.: 651-575-1796